US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Date Out EFB:

Product Manager

To:

APR 5 1982

TS-767			
From: Dr. Willa Garner Chief, Review So	ection No. 1		
Environmental Fa	ate Branch	<u>;</u>	
Attached please find the	e environmental fa	te review of:	
Reg./File No.: 2139-EUI	P-23		
Chemical: Thidiazuron			
•			
Type Product: Herbicide	e		
Product Name: Dropp			
Company Name: Nor-Am			
Submission Purpose: Re	eview merit of ULV	treatment with	Dropp in an
oil carrier			
ZBB Code: ?		ACTION	CODE: 714
Date in: 3/25/82	es la companya di salah sa	EFB #	254
Date Completed: 4/2/82		TAIS	Days
Deferrals To:		61	1
Ecological	L Effects Branch		

Residue Chemistry Branch

Toxicology Branch

Chemical: Thidiazuron (Dropp) (2139-122)

Company: NOR-AM Agricultural Products Inc.

A petition amendment was submitted to increase the acreage of the current EUP by 4900 acres. This is desired to test for residues in connection with an added use of ULV aerial application of DROPP to cotton in vegetable oil.

The reviews of DROPP aerial application in water were determined to be acceptable on 8/14/81 (EEB files).

The four reviews provided with this submission were for Fundal (chlordimeform). They were submitted in order that a comparison of water versus oil carrier could be made. The four submissions were determined to be acceptable. The extent of drift was more influenced by the type of nozzles and their orientation than by the carrier. Also the thermal conditions and moisture content of the air near the ground had some effect.

- 1. Fan nozzles tended to produce particles that drifted farther than did jet nozzles with discs.
- 2. A forward orientation produced particles that drifted farther.
- 3. The lower temperatures and higher relative humidities tended to allow greater recordable drift.

There is no indication how much material drifted as an aerosol and therefore was not deposited on the cards.

Though the four studies were acceptable in and of themselves, i.e. for FUNDAL, additional studies using DROPP in oil will be necessary to evaluate the extent of its drift versus that of DROPP in water.

Robert W. Holst, Ph.D.

Plant Physiologist

Environmental Fate Branch, HED

Chemical: Chlordimeform (Fundal 4EC) Acc. No: 247057 through 60

Company: NOR-AM Agricultural Products

Reviewer: Robert W. Holst, Ph.D., Plant Physiologist

Section 2, Environmental Fate Branch, HED, OPP

Date of Review: 2 April 1982

Bas	ic	Tn	for	ma [.]	+i,	nn•
			LUL	м		خالات

Study No:		333/288	333/289	33 <u>3/</u> 292	333/293
Temp:	F	95	96	81	81
Rel. Hum:	8	35	30	63	63
Wind Spd:	mph	4.5	7	5	4
Wind Dir:		WNW	WW	S	S
Noz Type:		D4-46	D1.5-13	Fan 8-46	Fan 8002
Noz Ort:		Down back 30°	Down forward 135	° Down 90°	Back 0°
Press:	psi	50	.50	25	40
HT:	ft ·	8-12	8-12	6-10	6-10
A/C SPD:	mph	105	105	120	120
APPL:	ai/A	0.125 lb	0.125	0.125	0.125
Appl. Dir.	-	N & S	N & S	E&W	Eaw
ADD:		In water	In veg. oil	In water	In oil
		+ Pydrin	+ Pydrin	+ Pydrin	+ Pydrin
GPA:		2	1 qt	2	1 qt
Date of Ap	pl:	7/14/81	7/31/81	9/25/81	9/25/81
Location:		Lexington, M	E Lexington, MS	Shaw, MS	Shaw, MS
Distance vs. Quantity: (Amt. lbs. a.i./A)					

Distanc	e vs. Quantity:	(Amt. 1bs.	a.i./A)		
25'	Upwind	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Center	0.1	0.14	0.21	0.05
	Downwind edge		0.06	0.28	0.03
	50 1	0.007	0.05	0.03	0.04
	100'	<0.001	0.04	0.02	0.006
	150'	<0.001	0.02	0.004	0.001
	200'	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.003
	250	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.003
	300*	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	<0.001
	400'	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	1000'	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

Notes: (Diagram of application and collection devices, etc.)

Note: 3 passes made for each over same swath.

Oil is cottonseed oil.